

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/040,291	10/25/2001	Frederick M. Morgan	C01104/70088(RFG/JT)	3587
23628	7590 07/22/2003			
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, CHUC	
BOSTON, MA	A 02210-2211	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2821	S
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			100
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/040,291	MORGAN ET AL.	
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chuc D Tran	2821	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory i - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mayon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	23 April 2003		
, ,	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	- allowance except for formal r		s is
Disposition of Claims	= pa quay,		
4) Claim(s) 2-38,40-70 and 72 is/are pendir	ng in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 2-38,40-70 and 72 is/are rejected	d.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □	accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ments have been received ir	Application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for 	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor			ation).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag 15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	e provisional application has	been received.	,
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N 	8) 5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Offi	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 13	

Application/Control Number: 10/040,291

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

1. The indicated allowability of claims 53-70 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Belliveau et al (USP. 4, 962, 687). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 40-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "the act a), the act b), the act b1) and the act b2)" lacks proper antecedent in that it renders the claim language vague and indefinite. As is presented, the elements required in making up the referenced components are unknown.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-39, 40-70 and 72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belliveau et al (USP. 4,962,687).

Regarding claims 2-39, 40-70 and 72, Belliveau disclose a variable color controlled

Application/Control Number: 10/040,291

Art Unit: 2821

illumination of light source comprising at least one light source (16) being adapted (10) to allow a user to remotely control at least one parameter associated with the variable color radiation generated by the at least one light source (Col. 3, Line 48); to illuminate the light without requiring the use of a color filter (Col. 2, Line 30); one of at least selector includes at least one button (20, 22) (Col. 3, line 56); one of at least two selectors includes at least one switch (Col. 3, Line 56); the at least one remote user interface includes at least processor (Col. 6, Line 65), responsive to the at least two selectors (18), to control the variable radiation of the light source (Col. 6, Line 62) (Col. 7, Line 1); and the at least one storage device (72, 74) is coupled to the processor (70) (Fig. 2) (Col. 6, Line 65); at least one remote user interface (12), coupled to the at least one light source (16), to allow a user to remotely control at least one color of the variable color radiation output (Col. 2, Line 35); and at least one illumination program (Col. 4, Line 4).

Belliveau differ from the claimed invention in that they all call for various conventional variable pool, spa, aquarium, stage-concert music or programmed sequence control lighting fixture, namely variable color lighting system remotely control by the central processor.

However, the inclusion of these methods does not render the claims patentably distinct over Belliveau in view of the fact that these methods are well accepted practice for the variable color lighting system which includes lighting fixture controlled from the central processor unit for stage-concert music or programmed sequence (Col. 1, line 63) (Col. 2, Line 30) or this system can be provided in pool and aquarium with special water sealing to prevent the short circuit of the system. Thus, it would have been obvious to anyone of ordinary skill in the art to choose any of the above conventional methods for forming Belliveau's structure in view of its convenient.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,291 Page 4

Art Unit: 2821

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuc D Tran whose telephone number is (703)306-5984. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F Flex hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (703)308-4856. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

TDC July 14, 2003 Jell